

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,866	11/21/2003	Zachary M. Reynolds	2100613-991102	1482
26379	7590 03/07/2005		EXAM	INER
	RUDNICK GRAY CA	SWINEHART, EDWIN L		
E. PALO ALTO, CA 94303-2248			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3617	

DATE MAILED: 03/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/718,866	REYNOLDS, ZACHARY M.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
,	Ed Swinehart	3617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26-33</u> is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· ·			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
	difficient the diagnost office	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	a have been received				
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
application from the International Burea	- ·				

Paper No(s)/Mail Date ___

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Attachment(s)

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other: _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

JE

Application/Control Number: 10/718,866

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains parenthetical statements. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 3. Claims 1,2,5-9,12-21,24 and 25 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,668,743. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because all of the currently claimed limitations are within the patented claims, and therefore the current application claims are not patentably distinct thereover.
- 4. Claims 3,4,10,11,22 and 23 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,668,743 in view of Haruguchi et al.

Claims 1-7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,668,743 fail to claim the constructed by an inner hull with attached appendages.

Haruguchi et al. teaches an original hull, and a final hull formed by sponsons attached to the original hull.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to construct the '743 claimed hull from a modified original hull as taught by Haruguchi et al.

Such a combination would have been desirable at the time the invention was made so as to provide an improved stability hull with low resistance.

- 5. Claims 26-33 are allowed.
- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Burgess discloses a hull having a volume providing concave surfaces in the bow, and a bow keel approximately of the length claimed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ed Swinehart whose telephone number is 703-308-2566. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 6:30 am to 2:00 pm..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Samuel Morano can be reached on 703-308-0230. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,866 Page 4

Art Unit: 3617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ed Swinehart
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3617